

REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 through 33 remain pending in this application. No claims have been cancelled. No claims have been withdrawn. No claims have been added.

Paragraph 1 of the Office Action

The declaration has been objected to. The examiner believes that the oath is defective because the error which is relied upon to support the reissue application is not an error upon which a reissue can be based.

Submitted herewith is a new declaration clarifying that the claims of the patent were unduly narrow, as the inclusion of a reservoir or a filter is not required for patentability.

Withdrawal of the objection to the declaration is therefore respectfully requested.

Paragraph 2 of the Office Action

Claim 32 has been objected to for the informalities noted in the Office Action.

Claim 32 has been amended in a manner believed to clarify the matter noted in the Office Action. Specifically, line 16 of claim 32, “one-way valve” has been amended to read –one-way valve;-. The amendment has thus restored the semi-colon that was present after “valve” in claim 32 in the filing papers of the reissue patent application.

Withdrawal of the objection to claim 32 is therefore respectfully requested.

Appln. No. 10/798,250
Amendment dated April 8, 2009
Reply to Office Action mailed January 8, 2009

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early reconsideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

WOODS, FULLER, SHULTZ & SMITH P.C.

_____/Jeffrey A. Proehl/_____ **Date:** April 8, 2009_____
Jeffrey A. Proehl (Reg. No. 35,987)
Customer No. **40,158**
P.O. Box 5027
Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5027
(605)336-3890 FAX (605)339-3357